



20⁵⁴

1	2	3	4	5	Σ
1	1	0.8	1.15	0.95	4.9

1. Not necessarily. As a counterexample take \mathbb{R}^2 with the discrete metric, $d(x,y) = 0$ if $x=y$
 $d(x,y) = 1$ if $x \neq y$

Let x be $(-2,0)$ and $r=2$

Then $B_r^d(x) = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid d(x,a) < 2\} = \mathbb{R}^2$

Let y be $(3,0)$ and $s=3$

Then $B_s^d(y) = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid d(y,a) < 3\} = \mathbb{R}^2$

It is clear that in this case $B_r^d(x) = B_s^d(y)$

but $x \neq y$ and $r \neq s$.



2. A1. $d^{(1)}(x,y) \geq 0$ since $d^{(1)}$ is a metric
 $d^{(2)}(x,y) \geq 0$ since $d^{(2)}$ is a metric
Therefore, $\max(d^{(1)}(x,y), d^{(2)}(x,y)) \geq 0$

Furthermore, if $d(x,y) = 0$, $\max(d^{(1)}(x,y), d^{(2)}(x,y)) = 0$
so $d^{(1)}(x,y) = 0$ and $d^{(2)}(x,y) = 0$ and since
 $d^{(1)}$ and $d^{(2)}$ are both metrics this implies $x=y$.
Also, clearly, when $x=y$, $d(x,y) = 0$.

A2. Since $d^{(1)}$ and $d^{(2)}$ are metrics we have
 $d^{(1)}(x,y) = d^{(1)}(y,x)$ and $d^{(2)}(x,y) = d^{(2)}(y,x)$

$$d(x,y) = \max(d^{(1)}(x,y), d^{(2)}(x,y)) = \max(d^{(1)}(y,x), d^{(2)}(y,x)) \\ = d(y,x)$$

so $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$.

$$A_3. \max(d^{(1)}(x, z), d^{(2)}(x, z)) \stackrel{?}{\leq} \max(d^{(1)}(x, y), d^{(2)}(x, y)) + \max(d^{(1)}(y, z), d^{(2)}(y, z))$$

Suppose $d^{(1)}(x, z) = \max(d^{(1)}(x, z), d^{(2)}(x, z))$

Since $d^{(1)}$ is a metric we have

$$d^{(1)}(x, z) \leq d^{(1)}(x, y) + d^{(1)}(y, z)$$

$$\leq \max(d^{(1)}(x, y), d^{(2)}(x, y)) + \max(d^{(1)}(y, z), d^{(2)}(y, z))$$

If $d^{(2)}(x, z) = \max(d^{(1)}(x, z), d^{(2)}(x, z))$

a similar argument holds.

This shows that $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.

This means all three axioms are satisfied so d is always a metric on X .

3. A is connected so any continuous function $f: A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is constant

Assume for contradiction B is disconnected. This means there exists a continuous function $f: B \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$ such that $f(b_1) = 0$ and $f(b_2) = 1$.

$$b_1 \in B \Rightarrow b_1 \in \bar{A} \Rightarrow B_\epsilon(b_1) \cap A \neq \emptyset \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

since f is continuous $f(c) = 0 \quad \forall c \in B_\epsilon(b_1)$ for $\epsilon < 1$? why?
Therefore there is an $a_1 \in B_\epsilon(b_1) \cap A$ such that $f(a_1) = 0$

$$b_2 \in B \Rightarrow b_2 \in \bar{A} \Rightarrow B_\epsilon(b_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

since f is continuous $f(d) = 1 \quad \forall d \in B_\epsilon(b_2)$ for $\epsilon < 1$
Therefore there is an $a_2 \in B_\epsilon(b_2) \cap A$ such that $f(a_2) = 1$

However, this contradicts that any continuous function $A \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is constant, since $f|_A$ is continuous and $f|_A(a_1) = 0 \neq 1 = f|_A(a_2)$.

Therefore, B is connected.

4. Definition: A subset A of a Hausdorff space X is compact if every open cover for A has a finite subcover.

Let C be an open cover for $A \cap B$.

A is compact so A is closed so $X \setminus A$ is open. Therefore $C \cup X \setminus A$ is an open cover for B .

If C does not have a finite open subcover for $A \cap B$, this means $C \cup X \setminus A$ does not have a finite open subcover for B , but this contradicts that B is compact.

Therefore, C has a finite open subcover, so $A \cap B$ is compact. The empty set is compact, so if $A = \emptyset$ or $B = \emptyset$, $A \cap B$ is compact.

5. The equation $qx = 1 - x^5$ has a solution when $f(x) = 0$ for $f(x) = 1 - x^5 - qx$

f is continuous. $f(0) = 1$ and $f(1) = -q$

$f(1) < 0 < f(0)$ so the intermediate value theorem guarantees there exists a $c \in [0,1]$ such that $f(c) = 0$

Furthermore, $f'(x) = -5x^4 - q < 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

This means f is strictly decreasing and therefore our solution c is unique. explain.

Use material from this course for an exhaustive proof.

+